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## Overview

## Meet-in-the-Filter (MiF)

- Recently proposed framework for differential cryptanalysis (Biryukov, Santos, Teh, Udovenko, and Velichkov 2022)
- Combines (variations of) techniques from the literature:

1 differential meet-in-the-middle, e.g. (Rechberger, Soleimany, and Tiessen 2018)
2 trail-assisted bit-based key-recovery, e.g. (Dinur 2014)
3 dynamic counting to trade data for time reduction

- Applied to Speck, automated but tedious complexity analysis
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## This work:

1 Theoretical aspects and understanding of MiF
2 Simplified analysis methods (pen-and-paper)
3 Based on trail counting
4 Applications: CHAM-64 and KATAN-32/48/64
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## Differential Cryptanalysis

$$
\Delta_{I N} \underset{\text { differential }}{r \text { rounds }} \Delta_{\text {OUT }} \stackrel{k \text { rounds }}{\text { key recovery }} \Delta_{C}, C_{1}, C_{2}
$$

## Differential Cryptanalysis

$$
\Delta_{I N} \xrightarrow[\text { differential }]{r \text { rounds }} \Delta_{\text {OUT }} \stackrel{k \text { rounds }}{\text { key recovery }} \Delta_{C}, C_{1}, C_{2}
$$

1 how to find key candidates efficiently?
[2 when is such attack worth it?

## Meet-in-the-Filter



1 precompute the cluster of trails $\Delta_{\text {OUT }} \rightarrow \Delta_{X}$
2 for each observed $\Delta_{C}$ :
1 compute the filter-set of trails $\Delta_{Y} \rightarrow \Delta_{C}$
$\sqrt{2}$ intersect to get trails $\Delta_{\text {OUT }} \rightarrow\left(\Delta_{X}=\Delta_{Y}\right) \rightarrow \Delta_{C}$
3 run trail-assisted key recovery
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## Trail Count vs Average Trail Probability

## Theorem

Let $T$ be the set of all $k$-round trails starting at $\Delta$. Then, the average probability of a trail in $T$ is equal to $1 /|T|$.


Total $\operatorname{Pr}=1$
Avg $\operatorname{Pr}=\frac{1}{|T|}$
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## Trail-based Plaintext Structures



- Compute all possible backwards trails $\Delta_{I N} \rightarrow \Delta_{P}$
- As long as all $\Delta_{P}{ }^{i}$ fit a structure, e.g.

$$
\Delta_{P}{ }^{i} \preceq 00 * * * * * 0 *
$$
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- As long as all $\Delta_{P}{ }^{i}$ fit a structure, e.g.

$$
\Delta_{P}{ }^{i} \preceq 00 * * * * * 0 *
$$

- "Free" rounds if can combine the top/bottom filters: $1 / \mathrm{q}$ trails of prob. q
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## CHAM cipher

- Based on the ARX construction


1 CHAM-64/128-88 rounds
2 CHAM-128/128-112 rounds
3 CHAM-128/256-120 rounds

- Key schedule updates subkey words linearly and independently
- No trail clustering over 4 rounds

11 -round trail fully determined from its input \& output differences

## Attack Complexities for CHAM-64 (+Literature)

Table: Summary of differential attacks on CHAM-64 (single-key setting).

| Type | Rounds | Time | Data | Memory | Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Trail Distinguisher | 39 | - | - | - | (Huang and Wang 2019) |
| Diff. Distinguisher | 44 | - | - | - | (Roh, Koo, Jung, Jeong, Lee, Kwon, and Kim |
| Diff. Key-recovery | 52 | $2^{114}$ | $2^{61}$ | $2^{54}$ | This Paper |

- No prior key recovery attacks

1 Previous work focused on finding differential trails

## High-level Attack description

Round split:
14 rounds: plaintext structure, enumerated trails
240 rounds: differential trail $\left(\operatorname{Pr}=2^{-60.05}\right)$
38 rounds: meet-in-the-filter (4 cluster +4 filter)

## High-level Attack description

## Round split:

14 rounds: plaintext structure, enumerated trails
240 rounds: differential trail $\left(\operatorname{Pr}=2^{-60.05}\right)$
38 rounds: meet-in-the-filter (4 cluster +4 filter)

## Attack procedure:

1 Encrypt plaintext structures
2 Enumerate pt/ct pairs and pt-side trails
3 Obtain ct-side trails using MiF
4 Guess-and-determine procedure for two-sided MiF key recovery (exploit relations between subkeys from both sides)

## Guessing Illustration (1/2)

Table: Backward extension from difference
$\Delta_{I N}=(0020,0010,1020,2800)$.

| Round | \#Trails | Avg.wt/R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -4 | $2^{35.67}$ | 11.87 |
| -3 | $2^{23.8}$ | 11.91 |
| -2 | $2^{11.89}$ | 7.72 |
| -1 | $2^{4.17}$ | 4.17 |

Table: Forward extension from difference

$$
\Delta_{\text {OUT }}=(2000,1000,2810,0020)
$$

| Round | \#Trails | Avg.wt/R |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| +1 | $2^{1.58}$ | 1.58 |
| +2 | $2^{8.12}$ | 6.54 |
| +3 | $2^{15.46}$ | 7.34 |
| +4 | $2^{19.55}$ | 4.09 |
| +5 | $2^{29.89}$ | 10.34 |
| +6 | $2^{39.95}$ | 10.06 |
| +7 | $2^{52.57}$ | 12.62 |
| +8 | $2^{64.84}$ | 12.27 |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $2^{0.00}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $K[0]$ | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: <br> $2^{60.05+35.67+64.84-64}$ <br> $K[1]$ |
| 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $2^{96.56}$ |  |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| $K[4]$ | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| $K[6]$ | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| $K[7]$ | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | $1-8$ | $2^{-43.68}$ | $43-50$ | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{100.29 ~}$$\rightarrow 2^{100.29}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: $+2^{103.67} \rightarrow 2^{103.80}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K[0] | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: |
| K[1] | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{3.38} \rightarrow 2^{103.67}$ |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| K[4] | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| K[6] | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| K[7] | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | 1-8 | $2^{-43.68}$ | 43-50 | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{103.67}$$\rightarrow 2^{104.74}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: $+2^{100.04} \rightarrow 2^{104.79}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K[0] | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | - Trail-keys: |
| K[1] | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{4.09} \rightarrow 2^{100.04}$ |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| K[3] | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| K[4] | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| K[6] | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| K[7] | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | 1-8 | $2^{-43.68}$ | 43-50 | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: $+2^{100.04} \rightarrow 2^{104.84}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K[0] | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: |
| $K[1]$ | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{-10.06} \rightarrow 2^{89.98}$ |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| K[4] | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| K[6] | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| K[7] | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | 1-8 | $2^{-43.68}$ | 43-50 | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{94.11} \rightarrow 2^{104.85}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: <br> $\times[0]$ |
| $K[1]$ | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{4.13} \rightarrow 2^{94.11}$ |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| $K[4]$ | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| $K[6]$ | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| $K[7]$ | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | $1-8$ | $2^{-43.68}$ | $43-50$ | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: $+2^{94.11} \rightarrow 2^{104.85}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| K[0] | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: |
| $K[1]$ | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{-10.34} \rightarrow 2^{83.77}$ |
| K[2] | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| K[4] | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| $K[6]$ | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| K[7] | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | 1-8 | $2^{-43.68}$ | 43-50 | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{83.77}$$\rightarrow 2^{104.85}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{91.51}$$\rightarrow 2^{104.85}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{91.51}$$\rightarrow 2^{104.85}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{97.17}$$\rightarrow 2^{104.85}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{106.63}$$\rightarrow 2^{107.00}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{106.63}$$\rightarrow 2^{107.83}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{105.63}$$\rightarrow 2^{108.11}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{117.63} \rightarrow 2^{117.63}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $K[0]$ | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: |
| K[1] | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{14.00} \rightarrow 2^{117.63}$ |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| $K[4]$ | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| $K[6]$ | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| $K[7]$ | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | $1-8$ | $2^{-43.68}$ | $43-50$ | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Guessing Illustration (2/2)

| Master <br> key word | Round | Filter | Round | Filter | Total | Time: <br> $+2^{117.63} \rightarrow 2^{118.63}$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $K[0]$ | 1 | $2^{-11.87}$ | 49 | $2^{-10.34}$ | $2^{-22.21}$ | Trail-keys: |
| K[1] | 2 | $2^{-11.91}$ | 50 | $2^{-10.06}$ | $2^{-21.97}$ | $\times 2^{-1.58} \rightarrow 2^{116.05}$ |
| $K[2]$ | 3 | $2^{-7.72}$ | 51 | $2^{-12.62}$ | $2^{-20.34}$ |  |
| $K[3]$ | 4 | $2^{-4.17}$ | 52 | $2^{-12.27}$ | $2^{-16.44}$ |  |
| $K[4]$ | 5 | $2^{-1.00}$ | 46 | $2^{-6.54}$ | $2^{-7.54}$ |  |
| $K[5]$ | 6 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 45 | $2^{-1.58}$ | $2^{-3.58}$ |  |
| $K[6]$ | 7 | $2^{-3.00}$ | 48 | $2^{-4.09}$ | $2^{-7.09}$ |  |
| $K[7]$ | 8 | $2^{-2.00}$ | 47 | $2^{-7.34}$ | $2^{-9.34}$ |  |
| all | $1-8$ | $2^{-43.68}$ | $43-50$ | $2^{-64.84}$ | $2^{-108.52}$ |  |

## Plan

1 Meet-in-the-Filter Technique

2 Theory

3 Application to CHAM

4 Application to KATAN

5 Conclusions

## KATAN cipher



■ Based on nonlinear feedback shift registers (NLFSR):
KATAN-32/48/64
1 80-bit key
2254 rounds
3 Variants differ in register sizes and location of taps

- Linear key schedule


## Attacks Summary and Comparison

| Cipher | Rounds | Type | Time | Data | Ref |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KATAN-32 | 117 | SK Rectangle | $2^{79.3}$ | $2^{27.3}$ | (Chen, Teh, Liu, Su, Samsudin, and Xiang 20 |
|  | 123 | SK Diff. | $2^{75.80}$ | $2^{31}$ | This Paper |
|  | 187 | RK Rectangle | $2^{78.4}$ | $2^{31.8}$ | (Chen, Teh, Liu, Su, Samsudin, and Xiang 20 |
|  | 206 | SK Multi-dim. MitM | $2^{79}$ | 3 | (Rasoolzadeh and Raddum 2016) |
| KATAN-48 | 87 | SK Rectangle | $2^{78}$ | $2^{36.7}$ | (Chen, Teh, Liu, Su, Samsudin, and Xiang 20 |
|  | 130 | SK Diff. | $2^{73.56}$ | $2^{45}$ | This Paper |
|  | 150 | RK Rectangle | $2^{77.6}$ | $2^{47.2}$ | (Chen, Teh, Liu, Su, Samsudin, and Xiang 20 |
|  | 148 | SK Multi-dim. MitM | $2^{79}$ | 2 | (Rasoolzadeh and Raddum 2016) |
| KATAN-64 | 72 | SK Rectangle | $2^{78}$ | $2^{55.1}$ | (Chen, Teh, Liu, Su, Samsudin, and Xiang 20 |
|  | 109 | SK Diff. | $2^{73.65}$ | $2^{57}$ | This Paper |
|  | 133 | RK Rectangle | $2^{78.5}$ | $2^{58.4}$ | (Chen, Teh, Liu, Su, Samsudin, and Xiang 20 |
|  | 129 | SK Multi-dim. MitM | $2^{79}$ | 2 | (Rasoolzadeh and Raddum 2016) $14 / 16$ |

## Attacks Summary

- $\approx$ direct MiF application
- no plaintext structure (but free rounds)
- using multiple output differences to reduce data


## Attacks Summary

■ $\approx$ direct MiF application

- no plaintext structure (but free rounds)
- using multiple output differences to reduce data

| Version | Subkey bits <br> /round | Steps <br> /round | Avg.Prob. <br> (random) | Total Factor <br> /round |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KATAN-32 | 2 | 1 | $2^{-1.76}$ | $\times 2^{+0.24}$ |
| KATAN-48 | 2 | 2 | $2^{-3.52}$ | $\times 2^{-1.52}$ |
| KATAN-64 | 2 | 3 | $2^{-5.28}$ | $\times 2^{-3.28}$ |

## Attacks Summary

- $\approx$ direct MiF application
- no plaintext structure (but free rounds)
- using multiple output differences to reduce data

| Version | Subkey bits <br> /round | Steps <br> /round | Avg.Prob. <br> (random) | Total Factor <br> /round |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KATAN-32 | 2 | 1 | $2^{-1.76}$ | $\times 2^{+0.24}$ |
| KATAN-48 | 2 | 2 | $2^{-3.52}$ | $\times 2^{-1.52}$ |
| KATAN-64 | 2 | 3 | $2^{-5.28}$ | $\times 2^{-3.28}$ |

■ $\Rightarrow$ better to directly guess "negative" rounds' subkeys and decrypt ciphertexts before running MiF (2 subkey bits / round)

## Plan

1 Meet-in-the-Filter Technique

2 Theory

3 Application to CHAM

4 Application to KATAN

5 Conclusions

## Conclusions

This work:

- simplified analysis of Meet-in-the-Filter (pen-and-paper)
- tools for analysis of trail distributions
- combining MiF with plaintext structures

■ example applications: attacks on CHAM and KATAN

## github.com/aa8a7b82/mif

ia.cr/2022/xxxx

## Open problems:

- similar simplified theory for dynamic counting
- more applications
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- When is the differential attack meaningful?
- Signal/Noise ratio:

$$
S / N=\frac{2^{K} p}{w}, \quad \begin{aligned}
& p=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\Delta_{I N} \rightarrow \Delta_{\text {OUT }}\right] \text { (main differential) } \\
& K=\text { guessed subkeys size } \\
& w=\text { avg \# subkey candidates / pair }
\end{aligned}
$$

■ Faster than K-bit exhaustive search by a factor $(S / N)$

- Consider observed difference $\Delta_{C}$ :

$$
w=2^{K} q, \text { where } q=\operatorname{Pr}\left[\Delta_{O U T} \rightarrow \Delta_{C}\right](\text { MiF trail })
$$

- Conclude $S / N=\frac{p}{q} \quad$ INCORRECT
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## Gain

- define gain $g=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}[\text { a suggested key is the right one }]}{\operatorname{Pr}[\text { a random key is the right one] }}$
- we show that $g=\frac{p}{\tilde{p}}=\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\left[\Delta_{I N} \rightarrow \Delta_{\text {OUT }}\right]}{\operatorname{Pr}\left[\Delta_{\text {IN }} \rightarrow \Delta_{C}\right]}=S / N \cdot \frac{q}{\tilde{p}}$
- ciphertext-randomization hypothesis:

$$
\tilde{p}=2^{-|C|} \Rightarrow g=2^{|C|} p
$$

- (general limit of differential key recovery)


